

United States Patent and Trademark Office

EAL

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/938,260	08/23/2001	Steven W. Russell	TI-25084	1259
23494	7590 09/15/2003			
TEXAS IN	STRUMENTS INCORPO	EXAMINER		
P O BOX 65 DALLAS, T	5474, M/S 3999 X 75265	FENTY, JESSE A		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2815	
		DATE MAILED: 09/15/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<i>y</i>		Application No. Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summary		09/938,260		RUSSELL ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
_ _		Jesse A. Fe		2815				
۔ ۔۔ Period for I	The MAILING DATE of this communication a Reply	ppears on the o	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
THE MA - Extension after SIX - If the perior of NO perior to the period to the perior to the period to the perior	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP ILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION is of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is do for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a region for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by static received by the Office later than three months after the mail atent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1. 1.136(a). In no event eply within the statuto d will apply and will a ute, cause the applic	t, however, may a reply be tim ory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	r. ommunication.			
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>2</u> :	1 August 2003						
· <u> </u>	·	This action is n						
•	since this application is in condition for allo			rosecution as to th	e merits is			
	losed in accordance with the practice unde				e monto lo			
·	aim(s) 28-33 is/are pending in the applica	tion.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)∏ C								
6)⊠ C	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>28-33</u> is/are rejected.							
7) 🗌 C								
8)□ C	aim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election red	quirement.					
Application	Papers							
•	e specification is objected to by the Exami							
10)□ Th	e drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acc	cepted or b) 🗌 c	bjected to by the Exa	miner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
•	e proposed drawing correction filed on		proved b)⊡ disappro	oved by the Examin	er.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)□ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	ı)-(d) or (f).				
a) 🗌	All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
1.	Certified copies of the priority docume							
2.	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
	nowledgment is made of a claim for dome		·		l application)			
	∏ The translation of the foreign language ણ				аррисацопу.			
, -	nowledgment is made of a claim for dome							
Attachment(s			_					
2) Notice of	f References Cited (PTO-892) f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	!	4) Interview Summan 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2815

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 08/21/03 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 28-30, 32 and 33 through are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Han et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,429,129 B1).

In re claim 28, Han discloses an integrated circuit structure, comprising:

At least two metal interconnect lines (12);

A liner (14) comprising silicon and carbon located on and between said metal interconnect lines; and

Application/Control Number: 09/938,260

Art Unit: 2815

A dielectric layer (16) positioned between said metal interconnect lines, the liner positioned between at least a portion of the dielectric layer and the metal interconnect lines.

In re claim 29, Huang discloses the device of claim 28, wherein the liner is silicon carbide (column 2, line 66).

In re claim 30, Han discloses the device of claim 28, wherein the dielectric layer comprises an intralevel dielectric layer (column 2, line 48) positioned between metal interconnect lines in a level of the integrated circuit structure.

In re claim 32, Han discloses the device of claim 28, wherein the dielectric layer comprises a fluorinated dielectric material (column 3, lines 35-39).

In re claim 33, Han discloses the device of claim 28, wherein the dielectric layer comprises PTFE (column 3, line 39).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 31 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Han as applied to claim 28 above, and further in view of Loboda et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,818,071).

In re claim 31, Han discloses the device of claim 28, but does not expressly disclose a dielectric layer comprising an interlevel dielectric layer positioned between conductive elements in different levels of the integrated circuit structure. Loboda discloses a dielectric layer (5, 9)

Application/Control Number: 09/938,260

Art Unit: 2815

comprising an interlevel dielectric layer positioned between conductive elements (6, 7) in

different levels of the integrated circuit structure. It would have been obvious for one skilled in

the art at the time of the invention to add additional levels as disclosed by Loboda to the device

of Han for the purpose, for example, of creating a multi-level device (Loboda; column 3, lines

59-66) to enhance the device density of the integrated circuit.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-17 have been considered but are moot in 6.

view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Jesse A. Fenty whose telephone number is 703-308-8137. The

examiner can normally be reached on 5/4-9 1st Fri. Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Eddie Lee can be reached on 703-308-1690. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Jesse A. Fenty

Examiner

Art Unit 281

Page 4

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800